

Regular expressions

- Let Σ be an alphabet with $A \in \Sigma$

- Regular expressions over Σ have *syntax*:

$$E ::= \underline{\phi} \mid \underline{\varepsilon} \mid \underline{A} \mid E + E' \mid E . E' \mid E^*$$

- The *semantics* of regular expression E is a language $L(E) \subseteq \Sigma^*$:

$$L(\underline{\phi}) = \phi^* \qquad L(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \{\varepsilon\} \qquad L(\underline{A}) = \{A\}$$

$$L(E + E') = L(E) \cup L(E')$$

$$L(E . E') = L(E) . L(E')$$

$$L(E^*) = L(E)^*$$

Syntax of ω -regular expressions

- *Regular expressions* denote languages of finite words
- *ω -Regular expressions* denote languages of **in**finite words
- An *ω -regular expression* G over Σ has the form:

$$G = E_1 \cdot F_1^\omega + \dots + E_n \cdot F_n^\omega \text{ for } n > 0$$

- where E_i, F_i are regular expressions over Σ with $\varepsilon \notin L(F_i)$
- Some examples:
 - $(A + B)^* \cdot B^\omega$
 - $(B^* \cdot A)^\omega$
 - $A^* \cdot B^\omega + A^\omega$

Semantics of ω -regular expressions

- For $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ let $L^\omega = \{w_1w_2w_3 \dots \mid \forall i \geq 0. w_i \in L\}$
- Let ω -regular expression $G = E_1.F_1^\omega + \dots + E_n.F_n^\omega$
- The *semantics* of G is the language $L_\omega(G) \subseteq \Sigma^\omega$:

$$L_\omega(G) = L(E_1).L(F_1)^\omega \cup \dots \cup L(E_n).L(F_n)^\omega$$

- G_1 and G_2 are *equivalent*, denoted $G_1 \equiv G_2$, if $L_\omega(G_1) = L_\omega(G_2)$

ω -Regular languages

- L is ω -regular if $L = L_\omega(G)$ for some ω -regular expression G
- Examples over $\Sigma = \{A, B\}$:
 - Language of all words with infinitely many As: $(B^* \cdot A)^\omega$
 - Language of all words with finitely many As: $(A + B)^* \cdot B^\omega$
 - The empty language: \emptyset^ω
- ω -Regular languages are closed under \cup , \cap and complementation

ω -Regular safety properties

- Definition:
 - LT property P over AP is ω -Regular if P is an ω -regular language over the alphabet 2^{AP}
- Or, equivalently:
 - LT property P over AP is ω -Regular if P is a language accepted by a nondeterministic Büchi automaton over 2^{AP}

Nondeterministic Büchi automata

- NFA (and DFA) are incapable of accepting infinite words
- Automata on infinite words
 - Suited for accepting ω -regular languages
 - We consider nondeterministic Büchi automata (NBA)
- Accepting runs have to “check” the entire input word \Rightarrow are infinite
 - acceptance criteria for infinite runs are needed
- NBA are like NFA, but have a distinct *acceptance criterion*
 - *one of the accept states must be visited infinitely often*

Büchi Automata

A nondeterministic **Büchi** automaton (NBA) A is a tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, Q_0, F)$ where:

- Q is a finite set of states with $Q_0 \subseteq Q$ a set of initial states
- Σ is an **alphabet**
- $\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^Q$ is a **transition function**
- $F \subseteq Q$ is a set of **accept** (or: final) states

Language of an NBA

- NBA $A = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, Q_0, F)$ and word $\sigma = A_0 A_1 A_2 \dots \in \Sigma^\omega$

- A *run* for σ in A is an infinite sequence $q_0 q_1 q_2 \dots$ such that:

- $q_0 \in Q_0$ and $q_i \xrightarrow{A_{i+1}} q_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 0$

- Run $q_0 q_1 q_2 \dots$ is **accepting** if $q_i \in F$ for **infinitely** many i .


- $\sigma \in \Sigma^\omega$ is accepted by A if there exists an accepting run for σ

- The accepted language of A :

$$L_\omega(A) = \{\sigma \in \Sigma^\omega \mid \text{there exists an accepting run for } \sigma \text{ in } A\}$$



- NBA A and A' are equivalent if $L_\omega(A) = L_\omega(A')$

An Example NBA





- If we treat \mathcal{M} as a NFA, then $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) = (A + AB)^*A$
- If we treat \mathcal{M} as a NBA, then $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M}) = (A^*AB)^\omega$
 - Can you write some words which are accepted and some words which are not accepted?

NBA versus NFA



finite equivalence $\not\Rightarrow$ ω -equivalence

$L(A) = L(A')$, but $L_\omega(A) \neq L_\omega(A')$



ω -equivalence $\not\Rightarrow$ finite equivalence

$L_\omega(A) = L_\omega(A')$, but $L(A) \neq L(A')$

NBA and ω -regular languages

The class of languages accepted by NBA agrees with the class of ω -regular languages.

This means:

- (1) any ω -regular language is recognized by an NBA
- (2) for any NBA A , the language $L_\omega(A)$ is ω -regular

For any ω -regular language there is an NBA




- How to construct an NBA for the ω -regular expression: $G = E_1.F_1^\omega + \dots + E_n.F_n^\omega$?
 - where E_i, F_i are regular expressions over Σ with $\varepsilon \notin L(F_i)$
- Use operators on NBA, mimicking operators on ω -regular expressions:
 - for NBA A_1 and A_2 there is an NBA accepting $L_\omega(A_1) \cup L_\omega(A_2)$
 - for any regular language L with $\varepsilon \notin L$ there is an NBA accepting L^ω
 - for regular language L and NBA A' there is an NBA accepting $L.L_\omega(A')$
- We will discuss these three operators in detail

Union of NBAs

For NBA A_1 and A_2 (both over the alphabet Σ)
there exists an NBA A such that:
 $L_\omega(A) = L_\omega(A_1) \cup L_\omega(A_2)$ and $|A| = \mathbf{O}(|A_1| + |A_2|)$

Definition of ω -operator for NFA

- Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, Q_0, F)$ be an NFA with $\varepsilon \notin L(\mathcal{A})$.
- Assume no initial state in \mathcal{A} has incoming transitions and $Q_0 \cap F = \emptyset$
 - Otherwise introduce a new initial state $q_{new} \notin F$
 - Let $q_{new} \xrightarrow{A} q$ iff $q_0 \xrightarrow{A} q$ for some $q_0 \in Q_0$
 - Keep all transitions in \mathcal{A}
- Construct an NBA $\mathcal{A}' = (Q, \Sigma, \delta', Q'_0, F')$ as follows
 - If $q \xrightarrow{A} q' \in F$ then add $q \xrightarrow{A} q_0$ for any $q_0 \in Q_0$
 - Keep all transitions in \mathcal{A}
 - $Q'_0 = Q_0$ and $F' = Q_0$



From A^*B to $(A^*B)^\omega$

Proof of $L_\omega(\mathcal{A}') \subseteq L(\mathcal{A})^\omega$

- Let $\sigma \in L_\omega(\mathcal{A}')$ and $q_0 q_1 q_2 \dots$ be an accepting run for σ in \mathcal{A}'
 - Hence, $q_i \in F' = Q_0$ for infinitely many indices i
 - Let $i_0 = 0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots$ such that $q_{i_k} \in F'$ and $q_j \notin F'$ for $j \neq i_k$
- Divide σ into infinitely many nonempty finite sub-words $w_i \in \Sigma^*$:
 $\sigma = w_1 w_2 w_3 \dots$ such that $q_{i_k} \in \delta'^*(q_{i_{k-1}}, w_k)$ for all $k > 0$
- It follows $\delta^*(q_{i_{k-1}}, w_k) \cap F \neq \emptyset$
 - $q_{i_k} \in Q_0$ and $q_{i_k} \in Q_0$ has no incoming transitions, thus $q_{i_k} \in F$
- Thus: $w_k \in L(\mathcal{A})$ for any $k > 0$, and hence $\sigma \in L(\mathcal{A})^\omega$

Proof of $L_\omega(\mathcal{A}') \supseteq L(\mathcal{A})^\omega$

- Let $\sigma = w_1 w_2 w_3 \dots$ such that $w_k \in L(\mathcal{A})$ for all $k > 0$
 - That is, $\sigma \in L(\mathcal{A})^\omega$

- Let $q_0^k q_1^k q_2^k \dots q_{n_k}^k$ be an accepting run for w_k in \mathcal{A}

- By definition of \mathcal{A}' , we have $q_0^{k+1} \in \delta'^*(q_0^k, w_k)$ for all $k > 0$

$q_0^1 \dots q_{n_1-1}^1 \ q_0^2 \dots q_{n_2-1}^2 \ q_0^3 \dots q_{n_3-1}^3 \dots$ is an accepting run for σ in \mathcal{A}'


- Hence $\sigma \in L_\omega(\mathcal{A}')$

Concatenating an NFA and an NBA

For NFA A and NBA A' (both over the alphabet Σ)
there exists an NBA A'' with:
 $L_{\omega}(A'') = L(A).L_{\omega}(A')$ and $|A''| = \mathbf{O}(|A| + |A'|)$

Interesting questions for NBA

- How to determine whether a NBA is empty?
- What is a deterministic BA?
- NBAs are more powerful than DBAs. The language $(A+B)^*B^\omega$ is accepted by a NBA but not accepted by any DBA (why?)
- Non-determinism is useful:



Let $AP = \{a\}$, i.e., $2^{AP} = \{A, B\}$ where $A = \{\}$ and $B = \{a\}$

The language: *eventually forever a* may be represented as $(A + B)^*B^\omega = (\{\} + \{a\})^*\{a\}^\omega$

Generalized Büchi automata

- NBA are as expressive as ω -regular languages
- Variants of NBA exist that are equally expressive
 - Muller, Rabin, and Streett automata
 - *generalized Büchi automata* (GNBA)
- GNBA are like NBA, but have a distinct *acceptance criterion*
 - a GNBA requires to visit several sets F_1, \dots, F_k ($k \geq 0$) infinitely often
 - for $k = 0$, all runs are accepting
 - for $k = 1$ this boils down to an NBA
- GNBA are useful to relate temporal logic and automata
 - but they are equally expressive as NBA

Generalized Büchi automata

A generalized NBA (GNBA) G is a tuple $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, Q_0, F)$ where:

- Q is a finite set of states with $Q_0 \subseteq Q$ a set of initial states
- Σ is an alphabet
- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^Q$ is a transition function
- $F = \{F_1, \dots, F_k\}$ is a (possibly empty) subset of 2^Q

The size of G , denoted $|G|$, is the number of states and transitions in G :

$$|G| = |Q| + \sum_{q \in Q} \sum_{A \in \Sigma} |\delta(q, A)|$$

Language of a GNBA

- GNBA $\mathcal{G} = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, Q_0, \mathcal{F})$ and word $\sigma = A_0 A_1 A_2 \dots \in \Sigma^\omega$
- A *run* for σ in \mathcal{G} is an infinite sequence $q_0 q_1 q_2 \dots$ such that:
 - $q_0 \in Q_0$ and $q_i \xrightarrow{A_i} q_{i+1}$ for all $i \geq 0$

- Run $q_0 q_1 q_2 \dots$ is **accepting** if for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$ for **infinitely** many i .


- $\sigma \in \Sigma^\omega$ is accepted by \mathcal{G} if there exists an accepting run for σ

- The accepted language of \mathcal{G} :

$$L_\omega(\mathcal{G}) = \{\sigma \in \Sigma^\omega \mid \text{there exists an accepting run for } \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{G}\}$$

- GNBA \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{G}' are equivalent if $L_\omega(\mathcal{G}) = L_\omega(\mathcal{G}')$

Example



A GNBA for the property *"both processes are infinitely often in their critical section"*

$$F = \{\{q_1\}, \{q_2\}\}$$


From GNBA to NBA

For any GNBA G there exists an NBA A with:

$$L_{\omega}(G) = L_{\omega}(A) \text{ and } |A| = O(|G| \cdot |F|)$$

where F denotes the set of acceptance sets in G

Example



Facts about Büchi automata

- They are as expressive as ω -regular languages
- They are closed under various operations and also under \cap
- Nondeterministic BA are more expressive than deterministic BA
- Emptiness check = check for reachable recurrent accept state
 - this can be done in $O(|A|)$